

FILED

APR - 5 2013

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

By _____

Deputy

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

§

§

VS.

§

NO. 4:11-CR-153-A

§

CHRISTOPHER TURRENTINE

§

O R D E R


Came on for consideration the motion to amend restitution order filed in the above action by defendant, CHRISTOPHER TURRENTINE. The government filed a response, and defendant filed a reply. The court also received a letter from counsel for ETS Development Group, LLC/Boss Dev, Inc. ("ETS"), which ETS characterized as a "Victim's Statement," and a letter from counsel for The Hartford, discussing defendant's motion.

Having considered all of the foregoing, the court concludes that the motion should be denied. The relief sought by the motion was a reduction in the amount of restitution owed by defendant. To the extent defendant is entitled to any adjustments or credits to the restitution amount, the court assumes that the United States Attorney, defendant, and defendant's victims can resolve the matter without the need for further court intervention. However, nothing referred to in any of the aforementioned papers justifies an order of this court reducing defendant's restitution obligation.

Therefore,

The court ORDERS that defendant's motion to amend
restitution order be, and is hereby, denied

SIGNED April 5, 2013.


JOHN MCBRYDE
United States District Judge